

Mystery Shopping - Interim Quality Assessment

Answer the questions below providing detailed feedback that will benefit the site.

All 'No' responses require comments. Name of Site: **Keston Common. Managing Organisation:** idverde / LB Bromley. Date, time and duration of visit: 20th August 2018 14:40 - 15:45 Weather conditions: Cloudy, occasional light shower. 1. Was the site easy to find e.g. directional signage? Yes □ No □ N/A □ Comments: Signs announcing site visible from several directions when driving to the site. 2. On approaching the site were you encouraged to enter? Yes □ No □ N/A □ Comments: Fishponds Rd entrance made me want to enter the site to walk around the ponds and surrounding woods. 3. Were entrance signs visible announcing the site and did they provide contact details for complaints/praise? Comments: Very good quality notice boards in the car park area with lots of information. Yes □ No □ N/A □ 4. Were entrances safe and accessible to people of differing abilities? Yes □ No □ N/A □ Comments:

5. Were entrances and car parks clean, litter free and well maintained?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: Clean entrances on several entrances viewed.
6. Were signs throughout the site of good quality, well maintained, and
displaying up to date and relevant information?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: Very good quality of notices with lots of information about Keston Common, the Friends Group and the site in general.
7. Were paths in good condition, clean, unobstructed and accessible to people of different abilities?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: Paths were of a loose gravel nature as the site is a common / woodland area but on whole the site was easily walked around.
8. Were any staff on site easily identifiable to the public, knowledgeable and approachable?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: No staff seen at the time of visit.
9. Were facilities such as toilets, cafés etc. clean, well maintained and open as advertised?
Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \textbf{N/A} \subseteq \)
Comments: None on site.
10. Were buildings, structures and features such as walls fences etc in good condition and free from graffiti?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: The site was free from graffiti and all structures in a good condition, some wear to the banks of the lower pond where the wooden shoring was collapsing which were marked with hazard tape and warning signs.
11. Was all children's play equipment well maintained and clean?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: None on site.

condition?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: Well sited bins at all entrances, plenty of benches around the site.
13. Was safety equipment present, fit for purpose and in good condition (if provided)?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: None seen during my visit.
14. Was lighting, CCTV and other security measures in good condition (where provided and able to be assessed)?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: None seen during my visit.
15. Did litter bins appear to be emptied regularly and was the site litter free?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: There were several full bins around site, this was most likely due to my visit being on a Monday after a reasonably hot weekend in the summer holidays, some evidence of litter on larger pond edges, beer cans and some other odd bits of small litter, presumably left by fisherman.
16. Was dog and other animal fouling adequately controlled?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: No dog fouling seen on site.
17. Were horticultural standards being maintained?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: Horticulture on site is very informal by the nature of the site, the hedges around the war memorial on the corner of the site were tidy.
18. Did trees appear to be safe and in good condition?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments:

19. Were features requiring or undergoing maintenance made safe with

appropriate signage?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments: some wear to the banks of the lower pond where the wooden shoring was collapsing which were marked with hazard tape and warning signs.
20. Did you feel safe during your visit?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments:
21. Was the site flying its Green Flag, and was the flag in good condition and recognisable and was a certificate displayed?
Yes □ No □ N/A □
Comments:
22. Did you obtain feedback from park users and/or staff?
Yes \square No \square N/A \square
Comments:
23. Would you like to make any additional observations?
Comments:
Yes □ No □ N/A □

Please rate the site as follows

Green meets all the requirements of the Award
Amber \square meets the requirements of the Award but has some areas of concern
Red
If graded Amber or Red please indicate areas of concern below.
Comments